Microbial inoculants produced from solid waste compost for bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soils
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Abstract: Microbial activity can be stimulated to remove soil hydrocarbons after the introduction of hydrocarbonoclastic microorganisms on the environment. We developed microbial inoculants produced from municipal solid waste compost (MSWC) for the bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soils. Diesel application occurred every 4 days for inoculant A and every 8 days for inoculant B. Respirometric analysis, total heterotrophic bacteria count, and evaluation of residual total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) were performed. The inoculants were evaluated immediately after production and after storage either at room temperature or under refrigeration. The degradation of TPH after 20 days was greatest at 30 g/kg. At this concentration, biodegradation of TPH ranged from 98.3 to 99.4%. After storage, efficiency of inoculant A reached TPH degradation rates of 96.5% (room temperature) and 98.1% (refrigeration). Inoculant B showed significant decrease of efficiency after storage, especially at room temperature. The addition of inoculants significantly increased the density of culturable bacteria in soil contaminated with diesel, even after storage. The use of MSWC at a dose of 30 g/kg was an effective strategy for the bioremediation of soils contaminated with diesel, allowing the elimination of more than 99% of the contaminants in 20 days.
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Resumo: A atividade microbiana pode ser estimulada a promover a remoção de hidrocarbonetos no solo ao se introduzir microrganismos hidrocarbonoclásticos. Inoculantes microbianos foram produzidos utilizando-se composto de lixo sólido municipal (CLSM) para a biorremediação de solos contaminados com óleo diesel. A aplicação de diesel ocorreu a cada quatro dias para o inoculante A e a cada oito para o inoculante B. Análises respirométricas, contagem de bactérias heterotróficas totais e avaliação de hidrocarbonetos totais do petróleo (HTP) foram realizadas. Os inoculantes foram avaliados imediatamente após a produção e o armazenamento, à temperatura ambiente e sob refrigeração. A degradação de HTP após 20 dias foi maior na concentração de 30 g/kg. Nesta concentração, a biodegradação de HTP permaneceu entre 98,3 e 99,4%. Após armazenamento, a eficiência do inoculante A foi de 96,5% de degradação (temperatura ambiente) e 98,1% (refrigeração). Inoculante B apresentou significativa redução de eficiência após armazenamento, especialmente à temperatura ambiente. A adição de inoculantes aumentou significativamente a densidade de bactérias cultiváveis no solo contaminado com diesel, mesmo após armazenamento. O uso de CLSM na dose de 30 g/kg foi uma estratégia efetiva para a biorremediação de solos contaminados com diesel, permitindo a eliminação de mais de 99% dos contaminantes em 20 dias.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil contamination with petroleum hydrocarbons is a serious environmental problem (Sutton et al., 2013; Aller et al., 2014; Baruah et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2018), caused by accidents during oil extraction, transportation, refining or storage (Lin & Mendelsohn, 2012; Mosaed et al., 2015). The recovery of hydrocarbon-contaminated environments has been intensively studied and diverse technologies have emerged to overcome the increasing number of contaminated sites (Gogoi et al., 2003; Gordon et al., 2015; Mosaed et al., 2015; Rodrigues & Tótila, 2015; Júlio et al., 2018).

Petroleum derivatives such as diesel oil are of great economic importance. Accidental releases of these derivatives due to faults in underground storage tanks are a common cause of contamination of soil and groundwater in Brazil (Bento et al., 2003; Mariano et al., 2007; Vieira et al., 2007; Colla et al., 2014). In this type of accident, the remediation of soil is very important to prevent contamination of the water table and to promote the recovery of the soil’s ecological functions.

Bioremediation techniques are used to accelerate the biodegradation of contaminants and the rehabilitation of the contaminated environment (Roling et al., 2002; Stroud et al., 2007; Minai-Tehrani et al., 2015), and include biostimulation (improvement of environmental conditions to stimulate microbial activity) (Gallego et al., 2001; Ruberto et al., 2009; Abed et al., 2015; Júlio et al., 2018); bioaugmentation (inoculation of the contaminated site with selected microbial populations efficient in biodegradation of the target contaminant which is generally accompanied by biostimulation) (Straube et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2008; Hassanshahian et al., 2014; Mao et al., 2015); and application of biosurfactants or biosurfactant-producing microorganisms to increase bioavailability of hydrophobic contaminants (Chang et al., 2015; Mao et al., 2015; Rodrigues et al., 2015a).

Biostimulation is adopted when indigenous microorganisms have the potential to degrade the contaminants, but their activity is limited by environmental factors (water activity, pH, mineral nutrients availability, electron acceptors, among others). However, bioaugmentation strategy may be necessary when the indigenous microorganisms cannot metabolize the contaminants or when efficient indigenous populations have been eliminated by toxic effects of the contaminants (Vogel, 1996; Aburto-Medina et al., 2015).

The survival and activity of introduced microorganisms in the soil depends on their adaptation to biotic and abiotic factors of the environment (Fantroussi & Agathos, 2005; Aburto-Medina et al., 2015; Adams et al., 2015). The selection of contaminant-degrading microorganisms is the critical step in bioaugmentation (Adams et al., 2015; Rodrigues et al., 2015b). When using culture media for the isolation of microorganisms with biodegradation potential of specific contaminants, only cultivable cells are selected. The use of enrichment methods which preserve non-cultivable microorganisms may be important to expand the biodegradation potential of microbial inoculums, since these members of microbial communities may also be involved in hydrocarbon biodegradation (Zhang et al., 2012; Leal et al., 2018). Furthermore, in bioaugmentation, the use of mixed cultures offers some advantages over pure cultures, including higher biodegradative capacity both quantitatively and qualitatively (higher range of contaminants used as substrates for microbial growth); greater resistance to toxic substances; increased potential for establishment of positive microbial interactions (e.g., syntrophy, commensalism, cometabolism) that may increase biodegradation efficiency and survival of the consortium. In addition, the use of consortia with high microbial diversity and functional redundancy increases the chances of survival of populations with the potential for biodegradation of the target contaminants when the inoculant is applied to sites with contrasting characteristics. Taking in consideration the advantages of microbial consortia for use in bioaugmentation, the objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of microbial inoculants produced upon enrichment of hydrocarbonoclastic microbial populations in municipal solid waste compost (MSWC) for bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soil.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

MICROBIAL INOCULANTS

MSWC was enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic populations upon amendment with mineral nutrients: Nitrogen (N), Phosphorous (P), and Potassium (K); and diesel (50 mL/kg at each application). The compost was sieved through a 5-mm mesh sieve and C:N:P ratio was set at 100:10:2 (additional carbon added as hydrocarbons was considered in calculations). Diesel application occurred every 4 days for inoculant A and every 8 days for inoculant B, while inoculant MSWC did not receive diesel application, but received the same doses of mineral nutrients ("f" stands for “fertilized MSWC”). The moisture content was corrected to 60% of water holding capacity (WHC). Subsequent moisture adjustments were performed when the water content reached 40% of the WHC, so as to maintain the variation between 40% and 60% of the WHC throughout the incubation period (24 days). Each treatment was conducted with three replicates.

SOIL CHARACTERIZATION AND CONTAMINATION

The soil was sieved through a 5-mm mesh sieve and analyzed for texture and fertility (Table 1). Texture analysis identified the soil as a heavy clay soil. After adjusting the moisture content to 60% of the WHC, the soil was fertilized with ammonium sulphate and di-potassium phosphate, at a ratio sufficient to obtain the final C:N:P ratio of 100:10:2. Finally, 20 mL/kg dry weight of diesel was applied to the soil.

DOSE OF INOCULANTS

The inoculants were applied to the diesel-contaminated soil in the proportions of 10, 30, or 50 g/kg dry mass γ ray sterilized compost (25 Mrad) was supplemented to the 10 and 30 g/kg treatments (40 g/kg and 20 g/kg, respectively), in order to maintain the same proportion of organic matter across all samples.

Each inoculant was added to 60 g of diesel-contaminated soil. Three control treatments were included: i) soil without inoculants; ii) soil with sterile MSWC only; and iii) sterile soil with sterile MSWC.

The experiment was conducted with three replicates for each treatment, totaling 36 plots. Each plot consisted of a 750 mL respirometric flask connected to a respirometer equipped with an infrared CO₂ detector with intermittent air flow (Sable System, NE, USA). CO₂ emission was accompanied for 486 h at room temperature ranging from 19 °C to 30 °C (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse sand</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine sand</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH (H₂O)</td>
<td>pH unity</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHC</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>48.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Org C</td>
<td>g/kg</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N total</td>
<td>g/kg</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>mg/dm³</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>mg/dm³</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>cmol/dm³</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>cmol/dm³</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al³⁺</td>
<td>cmol/dm³</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of the experimental soil. Legends: WHC = water holding capacity; Org C = organic carbon; cmol_c = cent mol of charge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Inoculum concentrations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inoculant A</td>
<td>10, 30 or 50 g/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculant B</td>
<td>10, 30 or 50 g/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSWC</td>
<td>10, 30 or 50 g/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil (Control)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilised MSWC + Soil (SC+S)</td>
<td>50 g/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterilised MSWC + Sterilised Soil (SC+SS)</td>
<td>50 g/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Treatments submitted to respirometric assay for determining the appropriate concentration of inoculants to be added to diesel-contaminated soil.
EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON THE CONSERVATION OF INOCULANTS

The inoculants were stored at room temperature or under refrigeration (6-8 °C) in plastic bags. After 97 days, density of heterotrophic bacteria was estimated as previously described. Respirometric assays were performed using 30 g of inoculants per kg dry weight of diesel-contaminated soil (20 mL/kg dry weight). A non-inoculated treatment was included as control. Each treatment was replicated three times in a completely randomized design. Enumeration of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria and residual TPH in soil were also performed at the end of the respirometric assay (486 h).

ENUMERATION OF CULTIVABLE BACTERIAL POPULATIONS

Density of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria was assessed by plating serial dilutions (in sodium pyrophosphate 1 g/L) on nutrient agar (Himedia®). To inhibit fungal growth, cycloheximide was added (100 mg/L) to the medium. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 24 h and the bacterial colonies were counted.

CHROMATOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS

The extraction of TPH from diesel-contaminated soil was performed in a Soxhlet extractor, as per the 3540C method (USEPA, 1996) using 2 g of soil and 200 mL of hexane:acetone (1:1). Extraction period was 6 h. The extracts were analyzed by gas chromatograph (QP 5000) coupled to a mass spectrometer (Shimadzu, Model 17-A) using a fused silica capillary column DB-1 (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) under the following conditions: initial temperature 40 °C (2 min), heating ramp of 8-240 °C/min. The injector temperature was maintained at 260 °C and detector temperature at 280 °C. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min in a splitless injection mode. The TPH degradation percentage was obtained subtracting residual TPH after the respirometric assays from TPH concentration at time zero.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data were tested for normality. After this, the Tukey a posteriori test was employed using SigmaPlot 11.0 software, and p values < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

EFFECT OF INOCULANT DOSE ON HYDROCARBON BIODEGRADATION

Analyzing the CO₂ emission by diesel-contaminated soil, there was an effect of the type of inoculant on CO₂ emission (Figure 1). Treatments with inoculants A and B had higher CO₂ emissions than treatments with inoculant MSWC or the treatment without the addition of inoculants during the initial phase (at around 330 hours) (Figure 1). After this phase, the cumulative CO₂ emission was equal among the non-inoculated soil and soil inoculated with inoculants A and B. The lower CO₂ emission by treatments with MSWC was maintained throughout the experiment (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. CO₂ emission by diesel-contaminated soil (20 mg/kg). Non-inoculated treatment (control) was used as the control. Inoculants A and B were enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria upon amendment of N- and P-fertilized MSWC with diesel (50 mL/kg at each application) every 4th (inoculant A) or 8th day (inoculant B) during 24 days. MSWC received only mineral nutrients at the onset of inoculant production. The data refers to the treatments with 50 g/kg of inoculants.](image-url)
The inoculated soil showed higher density of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria than the non-inoculated control, independently of the type of inoculant (Figure 2). For any dose of inoculants, the highest density of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria was obtained in soil inoculated with inoculant MSWC. The highest cell densities in treatments with inoculants A and MSWC were obtained with 10 g/kg of the inoculants, while for treatments with inoculant B, the highest cell densities were obtained at 30 g/kg.

The residual TPH concentration revealed the existence of interactions between the type and dose of inoculants applied to the experimental soil. TPH degradation varied from 84.84% in the non-inoculated control to >99% in some inoculated treatments in only 486 hours (24.25 days). The higher degradation of TPH was obtained in the treatments with 30 g/kg of inoculant, irrespective of the type of inoculant (Table 3). At the dose of 50 g/kg, TPH degradation in the soil inoculated with inoculants A and B was higher than in treatments with inoculant MSWC (Table 3). The dose of the inoculants had significant effect on TPH degradation, except the dose of 10 and 30 g/kg of inoculant B. Irrespective of the inoculants used, the dose of 50 g/kg resulted in the lowest TPH degradation. The TPH degradation in inoculated treatments was greater than in non-inoculated treatment, irrespective of the inoculant and dose used (Table 3).

EFFECT OF STORAGE ON THE INOCULANTS

Storage of inoculants under refrigeration was found to be the most suitable for maintaining the viability of the bacterial populations in the inoculants (Figure 3). Inoculants that were supplemented with diesel (inoculants A and B) showed higher bacterial counts than MSWC. Cell densities of heterotrophic bacteria was proportional to the amount of diesel added to MSWC (cell densities: Inoculant A > B > MSWC). The bacterial count of inoculant A stored under refrigeration remained close to that obtained immediately after its production.

Table 3. Percentage of total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) degradation in diesel-contaminated soil (20 mL/kg) in response to the application of inoculants formulated from municipal solid waste compost (MSWC). The soil received application of inoculants A, B, or MSWC, in different doses (10, 30, or 50 g/kg). In treatments with 0 (control), 10, and 30 g/kg of inoculants, gamma-sterilized MSWC was added to compensate for the lower amount of compost, compared to the treatment with 50 g/kg. Treatment means followed by the same capital letter, in lines, and lowercase letters, in columns (comparison among columns only), do not differ at 5% probability by Tukey’s test. Inoculants A and B were enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria upon amendment of N- and P-fertilized MSWC with diesel (50 mL/kg at each application) every 4th (inoculant A) or 8th day (inoculant B) during 24 days. MSWC received only mineral nutrients at the onset of inoculant production. Percentage of TPH degradation in non-inoculated soil (control) was 84.84%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Inoculant A</th>
<th>Inoculant B</th>
<th>MSWC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 g/kg</td>
<td>96.86 ± 1.23 Xx</td>
<td>99.00 ± 0.55 Ww</td>
<td>96.01 ± 0.17 Xx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 g/kg</td>
<td>98.32 ± 0.67 Ww</td>
<td>99.40 ± 0.10 Ww</td>
<td>99.43 ± 0.69 Ww</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 g/kg</td>
<td>89.66 ± 1.64 Xy</td>
<td>94.94 ± 1.09 Wx</td>
<td>87.01 ± 0.80 Yy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The storage temperature influenced CO$_2$ emission only in treatments with inoculant A (Table 4), with higher CO$_2$ emissions from diesel-contaminated soil inoculated with the inoculant stored under refrigeration. The other treatments showed no significant difference in CO$_2$ emission between the storage temperatures. Inoculated treatments showed higher CO$_2$ emissions than non-inoculated treatment, with no significant difference in CO$_2$ emissions between treatments with inoculants stored at room temperature. In treatments with inoculants stored under refrigeration, CO$_2$ emission from soil inoculated with inoculant MSWC$_r$ was lower than treatments with inoculants A and B.

There was a significant interaction between the type of inoculant and the storage temperature on the degradation of TPH in diesel-contaminated soil. The indigenous populations of the soil used in the experiment were active in the degradation of hydrocarbons (approximately 85% of TPH biodegradation in the non-inoculated control) (Table 5). However, the inoculation favored TPH biodegradation. Inoculation of the soil with the inoculant A was the most effective, resulting in the highest TPH degradation at both the storage temperatures. There was no effect of temperature of storage on the efficiency of inoculant A. TPH degradation in the treatment with inoculant A stored under room temperature did not differ from that obtained in treatment with inoculant MSWC$_r$ stored under the same condition (Table 5). Storage of inoculant B at room temperature had a significant negative effect on inoculant efficiency; the opposite was observed with inoculant MSWC$_r$. The result can be attributed to the differences in the composition of the microbial communities established in the different inoculants.

The highest density of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria was found in soil inoculated with inoculant MSWC$_r$ stored under refrigeration (Figure 4). Storage under refrigeration resulted in higher population densities of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria in soil than under room temperature for treatments with inoculants B and MSWC$_r$. For treatments with inoculant A, there was no effect of temperature of storage on population densities in soil. The inoculated treatments showed higher densities of heterotrophic bacteria than the non-inoculated control (Figure 4).

Table 4. Cumulative CO$_2$ emission from diesel-contaminated soil (20 mL/kg) inoculated with inoculants stored for 97 days under room temperature or refrigeration. The presented data refers to treatments with 30 g/kg of the inoculants. Treatment means followed by the same capital letter, in the line, and lowercase letter, in columns (comparison between columns only), do not differ at 5% probability by Tukey’s test. Inoculants A and B were enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria upon amendment of N- and P-fertilized MSWC with diesel (50 mL/kg at each application) every 4$^{	ext{th}}$ (inoculant A) or 8$^{	ext{th}}$ day (inoculant B) during 24 days. MSWC$_r$ received only mineral nutrients at the onset of inoculant production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature/Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Inoculant A</th>
<th>Inoculant B</th>
<th>MSWC$_r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>163.25 Y</td>
<td>189.43 WXx</td>
<td>198.18 WXw</td>
<td>181.45 Xw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration (6-8 ºC)</td>
<td>200.01 Ww</td>
<td>202.07 Ww</td>
<td>181.12 Xw</td>
<td>181.12 Xw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated whether enrichment of hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria in municipal solid waste compost (including non-cultivable populations) can be used for the production of inoculants for application to soils contaminated with hydrocarbons. Application of inoculants resulted in high hydrocarbon biodegradation in diesel-contaminated soil (removal of up to 99.4% of TPH in only 20 days). In non-inoculated soil, TPH removal was 84.4% within the same period.

The respiratory activity of the soil was influenced by the type of inoculant added to the soil, but not by the dose of inoculant. However, a significant dose effect of the inoculant was observed on TPH removal, with inhibition of the degradation activity occurring at the highest dose (50 g/kg). The conflicting results between the respirometric and TPH analyses can be explained by the use of other sources of organic carbon added as compost (Kuzyakov, 2006), which results in CO$_2$ emissions not originating from hydrocarbon (Dilly, 2001). The negative effect of increasing the dose of inoculants at >30 g/kg on TPH removal is attributed to the adsorption of hydrocarbon molecules to humic fractions present in MSWC, which decreases its bioavailability (Perminova et al., 1999; Leal et al., 2018).

Table 5. Total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) degradation in diesel-contaminated soil (20 mL/kg) after application of inoculants stored for 97 days at room temperature or under refrigeration. The presented data refers to treatments with 30 g/kg of the MSWC or inoculants. Treatment means followed by the same capital letter, in the line, and lowercase letter, in columns (comparison between columns only), do not differ at 5% probability by Tukey’s test. Inoculants A and B were enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria upon amendment of N- and P-fertilized MSWC with diesel (50 mL/kg at each application) every 4th (inoculant A) or 8th day (inoculant B) during 24 days. MSWC$_f$ received only mineral nutrients at the onset of inoculant production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature/Treatment</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Inoculant A</th>
<th>Inoculant B</th>
<th>MSWC$_f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>84.84 ± 2.14 X</td>
<td>96.55 ± 0.66 Ww</td>
<td>66.66 ± 4.21 Yx</td>
<td>91.66 ± 2.13 WXw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration (6-8 ºC)</td>
<td>98.14 ± 0.61 Ww</td>
<td>84.29 ± 2.42 Xw</td>
<td>78.32 ± 2.98 Xx</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Density of heterotrophic cultivable bacteria in diesel-contaminated soil (20 mL/kg) after application of inoculants stored at room temperature (Rt) or under refrigeration (Rf). The presented data refer to treatments with application of 50 g/kg of inoculants. Inoculants A and B were enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic bacteria upon amendment of N- and P-fertilized MSWC with diesel (50 mL/kg at each application) every 4th (inoculant A) or 8th day (inoculant B) during 24 days. MSWC$_f$ received only mineral nutrients at the onset of inoculant production.

The extended lag phase for CO$_2$ emission observed in non-inoculated soil or in soil inoculated with MSWC$_f$ (not enriched in hydrocarbonoclastic populations) may indicate the occurrence of toxic effect of diesel on the indigenous soil microorganisms (Hollender et al., 2003; Labud et al., 2007; Montagnolli et al., 2015) or a low abundance of hydrocarbon-degrading microbial populations. This effect did not occur in soil inoculated with inoculants A and B, which were enriched in microbial population adapted/selected to metabolize hydrocarbons from diesel.

In treatments with inoculants A and B, the accumulated CO$_2$ (Figure 1) level was higher as compared to treatment with inoculant MSWC$_f$ and non-inoculated control during the first 330 h. After this period, a marked increase in CO$_2$ emission was observed in the non-inoculated
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The result points to a limitation of carbon source in treatments with inoculants A and B after this period, with a possible adaptation of soil microorganisms to diesel as the carbon source. Similar results were reported by Sanni et al. (2015) and Leal et al. (2017), who showed that prior exposure of a microbial community to a contaminant induces physiological adaptations and selection of microbial populations capable of catabolizing the contaminant.

The non-inoculated control showed a much lower population density of cultivable bacteria than treatments with inoculants. Diplock et al. (2009) demonstrated that, in general, soils with low density of cultivable bacteria were associated with low hydrocarbon degradation rates. The soil receiving inoculants consisting only of MSWC enriched with N and P (MSWC) showed higher values of cultivable heterotrophic bacteria. We thus speculate that the lower concentration of diesel present in soil mixed with this inoculant (compared to inoculants A and B, which received regular diesel applications) favored the growth of microbial populations, since diesel has a high concentration of light aromatic hydrocarbons, which is toxic to microbial cells (van Dorst et al., 2014). The low molecular weight hydrocarbons induces acute toxic effects on soil microbiota, mostly due to their high solubility and the presence of volatile molecules that can penetrate into cells and alter cellular structures (Du et al., 2012; Harwood et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2014). Another problem of diesel-contaminated soil occurs during the catabolism of aromatic hydrocarbons present in diesel. During the metabolism of aromatic compounds, metabolites with higher toxicity than the parent compounds can build up, such as catechol (Ntougias et al., 2015). Although MSWC does not have microbial populations previously adjusted/selected to use diesel as the carbon source, it has a high microbial diversity and low C:N ratio (Ishi et al., 2000; Namkoong et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2017), which makes it potentially effective to stimulate hydrocarbon biodegradation in diesel-contaminated soil.

In previous studies, the application of a microbial consortium resulted in 57.8% of TPH removal from diesel-contaminated soil after 55 days (Mariano et al., 2007), while soil bioaugmentation with activated sludge resulted in 66% removal of TPH from diesel after 45 days (Gallego et al., 2001). Comparison of these results with those achieved in the present study reveals that MSWC-derived inoculants, even MSWC, which did not receive diesel to stimulate the enrichment of hydrocarbonoclastic populations, were much more effective in promoting degradation of hydrocarbons from diesel (> 99% TPH removal within 20 days at the dose of 30 g/kg) (Table 5). Indigenous soil populations could degrade diesel hydrocarbons, reaching about 85% of TPH degradation in the same period. These high efficiencies of hydrocarbon degradation, even in the non-inoculated soil, can be explained by the manner by which the experiment was conducted. After soil contamination and application of inoculants (or not), the microcosms were coupled to an automatic respirometer, which injected atmospheric air for 5 min (500 mL/min) every 4.5 h. This supposedly prevents oxygen from becoming a limiting factor for the catabolism of hydrocarbons, thereby increasing the efficiency of contaminant biodegradation.

The most important biotic factors for bioaugmentation are predation of allochthonous microorganisms added to the environment as well as the competition between these populations and autochthonous (indigenous) microorganisms (van Veen et al., 1997; Adams et al., 2015). Abiotic factors include the availability of nutrients, oxygen, temperature, water activity, and pH, among others (van Veen et al., 1997; Adams et al., 2015). Thus, for the bioaugmentation strategy to be successful, the introduced microorganisms must possess adaptive advantages beyond the ability to degrade the contaminants in the soil. Our inoculants were effective because they introduce in the contaminated soils a high diversity of microbial populations that constitute the microbial community of MSWC, including non-cultivable microorganisms, thus increasing the probability of successful
colonization of the new contaminated habitat. The presence of non-cultivable microorganisms in inoculants may also extend the metabolic pathways involved in the degradation of complex contaminants. Zhang et al. (2012) corroborated this statement by reporting that the proportion of physiologically active bacterial populations in soils contaminated with hydrocarbons was greater than that of cultivable populations.

The storage temperature influenced differently the microbial populations in the inoculants. Refrigeration had a positive effect on the maintenance of hydrocarbon biodegradation potential for microbial populations present in the inoculants produced with the addition of diesel (inoculants A and B). For the inoculant consisting of only fertilized MSWC (MSWC), storage at room temperature was more favorable. While it is recognized that refrigeration generally enhances microbial viability, in our study, this was not observed for all the inoculants, possibly due to differences in the structure of the microbial communities. The biodegradation potential of inoculant A after 97 days of storage under refrigeration was found to be identical to that obtained immediately after its production (Table 3 vs. Table 5). High biodegradation potential of this inoculant was also maintained during storage at room temperature. Apparently, frequent exposure to hydrocarbons present in the diesel used for enrichment of hydrocarbonoclastic populations (every 4 days) resulted in the selection of microbial populations tolerant to other environmental stress or, in other words, the induction of cross-resistance mechanisms. This phenomenon of cross-resistance to stress factors in microbial populations has been widely reported (e.g., Fernandes et al., 2003; Gang et al., 2015; Oide et al., 2015; Ramos et al., 2015).

CONCLUSIONS
The MSWC is an appropriate substrate for developing inoculants for application to hydrocarbon-contaminated soils. The application of inoculants from MSWC to diesel-contaminated soil provided fast and effective removal of hydrocarbon contaminants. The efficiency of inoculant was reduced at dosage > 30 g/kg of soil. At lower doses, the use of MSWC along with N and P was found to be efficient for enriching hydrocarbonoclastic microbial populations. When stored for about 100 days, the inoculant produced with the addition of diesel at every 4 days retained its biodegradation potential. We conclude that this strategy is the most recommended for production of inoculant to be used in field scale bioremediation of diesel-contaminated soils.
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